WHITNEY TAYLOR

PLSI 0250-01 COMPARATIVE POLITICS FALL 2021 WHITNEY TAYLOR

Completed evaluations: 7

Overall Score



AVG.=1.33
DEV.=0.62

SF State University Questions



AVG.=1.29
DEV.=0.60

Question	Responses	
The instructor defined the course objectives, learning activities, requirements and grading policies clearly in the syllabus.		N=7 AVG.=1.00 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.00
The course was organized in a way that helped my learning.		N=7 AVG.=1.43 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.79
The instructor created experiences that stimulated my learning.		N=7 AVG.=1.43 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.79
The instructor provided helpful and timely feedback on my performance and progress throughout the semester.		N=7 AVG.=1.14 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.38
The instructor was open to a variety of points of view.		N=7 AVG.=1.29 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.49
For item 1.6, please rate the overall effectiveness of your instructor on a scale ranging from the most positive response (highly effective) to the least positive response (ineffective). When I consider the contribution to my learning, this instructor's teaching was:		N=7 AVG.=1.43 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.79

Political Science Questions



AVG.=1.35
DEV.=0.64

Question	Responses	
The instructor effectively used assignments to enhance learning.		N=7 AVG.=1.43 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.79
The instructor has command of the subject.		N=7 AVG.=1.29 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.49
The instructor showed a strong interest in the subject matter.		N=7 AVG.=1.29 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.49
The instructor is able to express ideas clearly.		N=7 AVG.=1.43 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.79
The instructor welcomed questions.		N=7 AVG.=1.14 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.38
The course encouraged critical thinking about course topics and material		N=6 AVG.=1.17 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.41
The instructor motivated me to learn more about the subject.		N=7 AVG.=1.43 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.79
The course contributed significantly to my knowledge of the subject.		N=7 AVG.=1.57 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.79
Overall, the course was taught effectively.		N=7 AVG.=1.43 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.79

Question	Responses
Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	
Overall, have you been satisfied with this course? Why or why not?	1. I was very satisfied with this course because it was engaging, helpful, and the teacher does a great job maintaining this class. 2. I was satisfied because of the in-depth learning I got 3. Yes. I learned a lot about many countries I knew little about and also the things about America that were not as common in other parts of the world. It gave me important perspective. 4. I am very satisfied with this course because I learned how to politically inspect other countries and identify the things that makes them unique. 5. I was very satisfied with the course, it was a great introduction to comparative politics. 6. I was satisfied with this course, I feel like the professor did a really good job in teaching comparative politics. Everything was clear, and I never felt lost during my time in this course.
Overall, what changes would you recommend regarding each of the following: 1. Readings 2. Assignments 3. Class format 4. Instructor's performance.	1. 1. No changes. 2. Add different assignments rather than just quizzes and factbooks. 3. No changes. 4. No changes. 2. N/A 3. None. 4. Nothing should be changed in this course. 5. N/A. Professor Taylor had a clear outline of the course and the readings and assignments were challenging and stimulating at the same time. 6. I wouldnt really change anything about the course, everything is formatted in way that is easy to follow and helps the students learn about politics around the world.
Any additional comments or recommendations about the instructor or course?	1. N/A 2. None. 3. The instructor did well explaining each topic and lecture and made sure everyone participated. 4. Professor Taylor has a very organized class, and flexible with their students. The course material and class was engaging and informative. 5. No, i feel like the professor did a great job.