
PLSI 0607-01 SENIOR SEMINAR IN COMPARATIVE POLITICS SPRING 2024 WHITNEY TAYLOR


Completed evaluations: 8

Overall Score



<u>AVG.</u>=1.02 DEV.=0.15

SF State University Questions




AVG.=1.02 DEV.=0.14

Question


Responses

The instructor defined the course objectives, learning activities, requirements and grading policies clearly in the syllabus.




N=8 AVG.=1.00 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.00

The course was organized in a way that helped my learning.




N=8 AVG.=1.00 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.00

The instructor created experiences that stimulated my learning.




N=8 AVG.=1.13 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.35

The instructor provided helpful and timely feedback on my performance and progress throughout the semester.




N=8 AVG.=1.00 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.00

The instructor was open to a variety of points of view.



N=8 AVG.=1.00 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.00


For item 1.6, please rate the overall effectiveness of your instructor on a scale ranging from the most positive response (highly effective) to the least positive response (ineffective). When I consider the contribution to my learning, this instructor's teaching was:



AVG.=1.03 DEV.=0.16

Question


Responses



Question

Responses

Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.



N=8 AVG.=1.13 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.35

Overall, have you been satisfied with this course? Why or why not?

- 1. Absolutely, this was the ideal senior seminar for me and expanded my view on justice significantly.
- 2. yes! it was such an interesting, unique topic, that was new to me
- 3. Yes, our professor is very methodical, analytical, and extremely persistent with asking fact finding questions pertaining to out material. She brings group setting analysis allowing proper collaborative thinking and solution development. Although I may disagree with many of the students, this group setting allowed me to see things from all perspectives to shape my personal thought process for the future.
- 4. Yes, I think the workload was reasonable and manageable
- 5. Yes, the way it was organized kept me motivated throughout the semester to stay on top of my work.
- 6. I was very satisfied with this course because it was a very welcoming atmosphere and the professor did a great job asking questions.

Overall, what changes would you recommend regarding each of the following: 1. Readings 2. Assignments 3. Class format 4. Instructor's performance.

- 1. I thoroughly enjoyed all the readings in this class. I found the class format easy to follow and promoted my learning of the subject. The only issue this class ran into was a lack of class participation, and there was really no way to force people to participate in discussions.
- 2. n/a
- 3. NA
- 4. I didn't have a problem with any but if I had to rate them I would do: 1, 3, 2, 4
- 5. I will say the zoom session felt a big too long, 2 hours and 40 minutes is a long time for a class so if it could have been broken up into two days that'd be nice but nonetheless the content was engaging and didn't feel like too much of a drag.
- 6. I would like for more direction on the Policy Briefs because at times I didn't really know what was expected of me and what I needed to be writing.

Any additional comments or recommendations about the instructor or course?

- 1. This course was my favorite I've taken in my 4 years at State, and was truly the perfect capstone for my PLSI degree.
- 2. professor taylor was such a great professor to teach this topic and made a class like this over zoom really enjoyable, interesting, and rewarding!
- 3. NA
- 4. N/A
- 5. Again I want to say I really enjoyed the format, a reading check allowed me to read and understand what I was reading because I knew I was going to get graded on it.
- 6. I loved the presentations and doing them at the class meeting I think it was a perfect way to end the class.