
PLSI 0250-02 COMPARATIVE POLITICS SPRING 2023 WHITNEY TAYLOR

Completed evaluations: 6


Print Report

Overall Score



AVG.=1.36 DEV.=0.82

SF State University Questions




AVG.=1.42 DEV.=0.97

Question


Responses

The instructor defined the course objectives, learning activities, requirements and grading policies clearly in the syllabus.




N=6 AVG.=1.00 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.00

The course was organized in a way that helped my learning.




N=6 AVG,=1.50 MD=1.50 DEV.=0.55

The instructor created experiences that stimulated my learning.




N=6 AVG.=1.50 MD=1.00 DEV.=1.22

The instructor provided helpful and timely feedback on my performance and progress throughout the semester.



N=6 AVG.=1.50 MD=1.00 DEV.=1.22


The instructor was open to a variety of points of view.



N=6 AVG.=1.50 MD=1.00


DEV.=1.22

For item 1.6, please rate the overall effectiveness of your instructor on a scale ranging from the most positive response (highly effective) to the least positive response (ineffective). When I consider the contribution to my learning, this instructor's teaching was:



N=6 AVG,=1.50 MD=1.00 DEV.=1.22

Political Science Questions




AVG.=1.33 DEV.=0.73

Question


Responses

The instructor effectively used assignments to enhance learning.




N=6 AVG.=1.50 MD=1.00 DEV.=1.22

The instructor has command of the subject.




N=6 AVG =1.17 MD=1.00 DEV =0.41

The instructor showed a strong interest in the subject matter.




N=6 AVG.=1.00 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.00

The instructor is able to express ideas clearly.




N=6 AVG.=1.00 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.00

The instructor welcomed questions.




N=6 AVG.=1.33 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.82

The course encouraged critical thinking about course topics and material




N=6 AVG.=1.33 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.82

The instructor motivated me to learn more about the subject.




N=6 AVG.=1.67 MD=1.00 DEV.=1.21

The course contributed significantly to my knowledge of the subject.




N=6 AVG =1.50 MD=1.50 DEV =0.55

Overall, the course was taught effectively.



N=6 AVG.=1.33 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.52 Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.



N=6 AVG.=1.50 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.84

Overall, have you been satisfied with this course? Why or why not?

- I have been satisfied with this course. At first, I thought I would really struggle in this class and it would be very challenging, but surprisingly I picked up on a lot of the material and was able to do pretty good.
- I am really satisfied with this course because every class is organized and assignments date are all clear
- It felt like all we did was read at home and then talk about it in class.
 The teacher also is kind of awkward and not very forgiving with wrong answers or off-topic questions,
- 4. Yes, I learned a lot about comparative politics

Overall, what changes would you recommend regarding each of the following: 1. Readings 2. Assignments 3. Class format 4. Instructor's performance.

- 1. 4, instructor was a little low energy
- 2. I think everything was perfect I would not change anything

Any additional comments or recommendations about the instructor or course?

- 1. She is an amazing professor and I plan on taking her during the summer and fall
- 2. A final project and a final exam was a little excessive
- 3. Nothing really this class was great