# PLSI 0250-01 COMPARATIVE POLITICS SPRING 2023 WHITNEY TAYLOR

Completed evaluations: 7

Print Report

Overall Score

AVG.=1.47
DEV.=0.79

## SF State University Questions

AVG.=1.60
DEV.=0.94

| Question	Responses	
The instructor defined the course objectives, learning activities, requirements and grading policies clearly in the syllabus.	42.9% 42.9% 0.00% 0.00% 14.3%	N=7 AVG.=2.00 MD=2.00 DEV.=1.41
The course was organized in a way that helped my learning.	57.1% 42.9% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	N=7 AVG.=1.43 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.53
The instructor created experiences that stimulated my learning.	71.4% 28.6% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	N=7 AVG.=1.29 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.49
The instructor provided helpful and timely feedback on my performance and progress throughout the semester.	57.1% 42.9% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	N=7 AVG.=1.43 MD=1.00 DEV.=0.53
The instructor was open to a variety of points of view.	71.4% 14.3% 0.00% 0.00% 14.3%	N=7 AVG.=1.71 MD=1.00 DEV.=1.50
For item 1.6, please rate the overall effectiveness of your instructor on a scale ranging from the most positive response (highly effective) to the least positive response (ineffective). When I consider the contribution to my learning, this instructor's teaching was:	42.9% 42.9% 14.3% 0.00% 0.00%	N=7 AVG.=1.71 MD=2.00 DEV.=0.76

Political Science Questions

	1	2	3	4	5	
+						−

AVG.=1.40
DEV.=0.69

Question	Responses	

The instructor effectively used assignments to enhance learning.

Strongly agree — Strongly disagree

57.1%	0.00%	42.9%	0.00%	0.00%
1	2	3	4	5

N=7
AVG.=1.86
MD=1.00
DEV.=1.07

The instructor has command of the subject.

Strongly agree — Strongly disagree

57.1%	28.6%	0.00%	14.3%	0.00%
1	2	3	4	5

N=7
AVG.=1.71
MD=1.00
DEV.=1.11

The instructor showed a strong interest in the subject matter.

Strongly agree — Strongly disagree

100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
1	2	3	4	5

N=7
AVG.=1.00
MD=1.00
DEV.=0.00

The instructor is able to express ideas clearly.

Strongly agree — Strongly disagree

85.7%	14.3%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
1	2	3	4	5

N=7
AVG.=1.14
MD=1.00
DEV.=0.38

The instructor welcomed questions.

Strongly agree — Strongly disagree

85.7%	14.3%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
1	2	3	4	5

N=7
AVG.=1.14
MD=1.00
DEV.=0.38

The course encouraged critical thinking about course topics and material

Strongly agree — Strongly disagree

71.4%	28.6%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
1	2	3	4	5

N=7
AVG.=1.29
MD=1.00
DEV.=0.49

The instructor motivated me to learn more about the subject.

Strongly agree — Strongly disagree

57.1%	42.9%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
1	2	3	4	5

N=7
AVG.=1.43
MD=1.00
DEV.=0.53

The course contributed significantly to my knowledge of the subject.

Strongly agree — Strongly disagree

57.1%	28.6%	14.3%	0.00%	0.00%
1	2	3	4	5

N=7
AVG.=1.57
MD=1.00
DEV.=0.79

Overall, the course was taught effectively.

Strongly agree — Strongly disagree

57.1%	28.6%	14.3%	0.00%	0.00%
1	2	3	4	5

N=7
AVG.=1.57
MD=1.00
DEV.=0.79

Question	Responses

Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.

71.4% 28.6% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%

Strongly agree — 1 2 3 4 5 — Strongly disagree

N=7
AVG.=1.29
MD=1.00
DEV.=0.49

Overall, have you been satisfied with this course? Why or why not?

1. I was satisfied with this course because Professor Taylor did an outstanding job teaching the course. I feel like she helped me understand comparative politics.
2. somewhat. I have learned from my professor's assigned readings, but not much at all from her teaching style.
3. Definitely. The lectures were done very well, and the subjects were very easy to understand.

Overall, what changes would you recommend regarding each of the following: 1. Readings 2. Assignments 3. Class format 4. Instructor's performance.

1. Professor Taylor asked the class what changes we would like to see in the class format and we said: we'd like more time for in class discussion. Professor Taylor listened to us and added more class time for discussion.
2. 3/4. class can be formatted to be more interesting, instructor can be more inviting kind and open to questions and class discussion.
3. None. The course was set up in a perfect manner.

Any additional comments or recommendations about the instructor or course?

1. Professor Taylor is doing terrific work. More guest speakers might be helpful to some students? Overall I'd say more discussion time (possibly 15 min longer class)??? If class was slightly longer there would be more time for discussions and healthy debates.
2. I feel this instructor could have been kinder and could have created a class environment that was more inviting and encouraged more learning and peer discussion.